### FACTORS AFFECTING RETENTION OF PLACENTA AND ITS INFLUENCE ON POSTPARTUM REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE AND MILK PRODUCTION IN FRIESIAN COWS

#### H. M. A. GAAFAR, SH. M. SHAMIAH, A. A. SHITTA, H. A. B. GANAH

Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Nadi El-Said St., Dokki, Egypt

#### ABSTRACT

A total of 1243 records of 585 dairy Friesian cows collected through 8 years (1997-2004) were used to study factors affecting retention of placenta and its influence on reproductive performance and milk production. The obtained results showed that the percentage of retained placenta averaged 24.90%, the highest percentage was in the year 1998 (46.3%) and it increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing body weight of cows, parity and body weight of calves born. The percentage of retained placenta was significantly higher (P<0.05) in spring and summer seasons (28.0 and 27.70%, respectively) and also with twining in comparison to single calving (37.90 vs. 24.20%, respectively). There were no significant differences in the percentage of retained placenta between winter and summer feeding as well as between male or female birthings.

Retained placenta resulted in an increase (P<0.05) in the period from parturition to first estrus (25.90 vs. 20.50 days) and first service (56.90 vs. 47.20 days), service period (57.70 vs. 46.10 days), open days (106.90 vs. 92.70 days), number of services per conception (3.50 vs. 2.60) and calving interval (395.20 vs. 372.90 days). Moreover, it reduced the conception rate (66.70 vs. 74.10%) and average daily milk yield (13 vs. 14 kg) compared to normally calved cows.

Key words: Friesian cows, retention of placenta, reproductive performance, milk production

#### INTRODUCTION

Retention of fetal membranes from 6 to 24 hours post parturition is defined as retained placenta (Swiefy, 2003). Premature induction of parturition with glucocorticoids and/or prostaglandins increases the cases of placenta retention (Carroll, 1974 and Echternkamp et al., 1987). Moreover, it may be caused as a result of low plasma estrogen concentration (Chew et al., 1978). Deficiency in vitamin E and selenium also has an impact on retention of placenta (Ishak et al., 1983). The causes of the lower incidence in the fall season compared to hot season are due to the environmental and nutritional differences, e.g. temperature and Concentrate to forage ration in the diet (Echternkamp and Gregory, 1999). Such seasonal differences in nutrition may influence placental development; placental growth (i.e., mass and net cellular

proliferation) in the first half of gestation period (Ehrhardt and Ball, 1995). Calf birth weight and placental weight have been reported to be correlated positively in cattle (Echternkamp, 1993).

Placental retention is usually accompanied by delayed involution of the uterus (Peters and Ball, 1995), and adversely affects reproductive performance (Swiefy, 2003). Cows with reproductive disorders had longer intervals from calving to first service and to conception and required more services per conception and lower pregnancy rate and conception to first service (Shiferaw et al., 2005). The intervals from calving to first service and conception were prolonged in the group with retained placentas compared to the control group (Han and Kim, 2005). Milk yield was suppressed for about 4 weeks after calving with retained placenta (Lucey et al., 1986).

The objective of this study was to investigate

Correspondence: E-mail: dr.gaafar@hotmail.com Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Nadi El-Said St., Dokki, Egypt Tel.: 0020473239156 Fax: 0020473229507 Received: November 6, 2009 Accepted:March 8, 2010 factors affecting the incidence of retained placenta and its effects on postpartum reproductive performance and milk production in Friesian cows.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### **Experimental data**

A total number of 1243 records (934 for normally calved and 309 for parturition accompanied by retained placenta) of 585 dairy Friesian cows, reared in Sakha Animal Production Research Station (Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt) were used in the present study. Cows had body weight between 350 and 650 kg, with age of 3 -13 years and 1-8 parities. Collected records cover the period from 1997 to 2004 (8 years).

Collected data included the periods from parturition to first estrus, postpartum service interval, conception rate, open days, calving interval, number of services per conception and conception rate (number of conceived cows/total number of inseminated cows x 100) as reproductive traits in addition to average daily milk yield as productive trait.

#### Feeding and management

Cows were housed in semi-open yards and fed basically during summer on concentrate feed mixture, berseem hay, rice straw; while in winter berseem hay was replaced by fresh ones. Cows were fed in groups and the nutritional requirements were offered based on their body weight, milk yield and reproductive status (Animal Production Research Institute Recommendation, 1997). Water was made available for animals all the day round. Cows were artificially inseminated within 14 hours after onset of estrus until conceived for 150 days postpartum.

#### Statistical analysis

The obtained data were statistically analyzed using general linear models procedure adapted by SPSS (2004) for user's guide. Duncan test within program SPSS was done to determine the degree of significance between means. The data on factors affecting retention of placenta were analyzed using the following model:

#### Yijklmno = u + Ai + Bj + Ck + Dl + Fm + Gh + Pn + Ro + (ABCDFPR)ijklmnq + Eijklmno

Yijklmno = the intended recorded performance value for each individual cow.

where, U = the overall mean; Ai = the effect of calving year; Bj = the effect of cow's weight; Ck = the effect of parity; Dl = the effect of weight of calves born;

Fm = the effect of calving season;

Gh = the effect of feeding system;

Pn = the effect of calf sex;

Ro = the effect of type of birth;

(ABCDFPR)ijklmnq = the interactions among the factors; Eijklmno = a random term associated with each observation assumed to be independent and randomly distributed.

The data of reproductive performance of normal cows and those with retained placenta were analyzed using the following model:

 $\mathbf{Y}_{ii} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{T}_i + \mathbf{E}_{ii};$ 

where:

 $Y_{ij}$  = the observation ij; u = overall mean; Ti = treatments (1 for cows normal calved and 2 for cows with retained placenta); Eij = experimental error associated with i and j observations assumed to be randomly distributed.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Some factors affecting on the incidence of retained placenta

#### 1. Year of calving

The effect of year of calving on the percentage of retained placenta is shown in Table 1. There were significant differences (P<0.05) in the percentage of retained placenta among the different calving years. The highest percentage of retained placenta was detected in the year 1998 (46.30%), while the lowest percentage was in the year 2004 (14.20%). The incidence of retained placenta seems to be extremely variable from year to year in the same farm (El-Naggar, 1977).

## Table 1: Effect of year and season of calving and<br/>feeding system on the percentage incidence<br/>of retained placenta in Friesian cows

Itam	No of records	Reta	ined placenta
Item	No. of fecolds	N	Incidence %
Calving year			
1997	148	46	31.10°
1998	95	44	46.30 <sup>a</sup>
1999	92	36	39.10 <sup>ab</sup>
2000	203	35	17.20 <sup>d</sup>
2001	169	29	17.20 <sup>d</sup>
2002	227	51	22.50 <sup>cd</sup>
2003	175	49	28.00°
2004	134	19	14.20 <sup>d</sup>
Calving season			
Winter	425	106	24.90 <sup>ab</sup>
Spring	311	87	28.00ª
Summer	249	69	27.70ª
Autumn	258	47	18.20 <sup>b</sup>
Feeding system			
Green feeding	736	193	26.20
Dry feeding	507	116	22.90
Overall mean	1243	309	24.90

<sup>a, b, c, d</sup> Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

#### 2. Calving season

The highest percentages (P<0.05) of incidence of retained placenta were detected in spring and summer seasons (28.0 and 27.70%, respectively), while the lowest percentage (P<0.05) was noticed in autumn season (18.20%), as shown in Table 1. After calving, the fetal membranes were expelled from the uterus by continued contraction waves. Beside reducing the size of the uterus and aiding in forcing the placenta to birth canal, probably this markedly reduced the amount of blood circulating in the endometrium (Roberts, 1971). Similar results were obtained by Atalah (1993), Deyab (2000) and Gabr et al. (2005).

#### 3. Feeding system

Results in Table 1 revealed that no significant differences were found in the percentage incidence of retained placenta during green (winter) and dry (summer) feeding (26.20% vs. 22.90%), respectively. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Deyab (2000) and Gabr et al. (2005): they found non-significant differences between feeding system in the percentage of retained placenta.

#### 4. Live body weight of cows

The effect of live body weight of Friesian cows on the percentage of retained placenta is shown in Table 2. The percentage of retained placenta increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing live body weight of cows, which varied from 20.70% at body weight of 350-400 kg to 31.20% at body weight of 600-650 kg with an average of 24.90%. These results may be attributed to the increment in fat adipose tissues as increasing weight may result in trapping the steroid sex hormones, which are known to be fat-soluble. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Deyab (2000) and Gabr et al. (2005).

## Table 2: Effect of live body weight and parity of<br/>cows on the percentage incidence<br/>of retained placenta in Friesian cows

Itom	No of records	Reta	ined placenta
Itelli	No. of fecolus	N	Incidence %
Cow's weight			
350-400 kg	145	30	20.70°
400-450 kg	254	57	$22.40^{bc}$
450-500 kg	424	105	24.80 <sup>bc</sup>
500-550 kg	196	52	26.50 <sup>abc</sup>
550-600 kg	163	46	28.20 <sup>ab</sup>
600-650 kg	61	19	31.20 <sup>a</sup>
No. of parity			
1	402	57	14.20 <sup>e</sup>
2	295	54	18.30 <sup>de</sup>
3	187	50	26.70 <sup>d</sup>
4	115	44	38.30°
5	97	39	40.20 <sup>bc</sup>
6	89	37	41.60 <sup>bc</sup>
7	36	16	44.40 <sup>b</sup>
8	22	12	54.60ª
Overall mean	1243	309	24.90

 $^{\rm a,\ b,\ c}$  Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

#### 5. Number of parity

Results in Table 2 revealed that the effect of parity on the percentage of incidence of retained placenta in Friesian cows increased significantly (P<0.05) from 14.20% for 1<sup>st</sup> parity to 54.60% for 8<sup>th</sup> parity. It could be explained on the basis of the uterine muscles. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Karen (1996), Deyab (2000) and Gabr et al. (2005). They reported that the incidence of retained placenta increased in old cows with parity over fourth.

#### 6. Birth weight of calves

The percentage of incidence of retained placenta increased significantly (P<0.05) with increasing weight of born calves (Table 3). The increases in percentage of incidence of retained placenta with the increase in fetal birth weight may be due to an expected pressure of the fetus on the placenta and fetal membrane, so that the attachment between the cotyledons and the fetal membrane become stronger. Many investigators reported increase in the incidence of retained placenta with increasing weight of born calves (Joosten et al., 1988; Deyab, 2000 and Gabr et al., 2005).

# Table 3: Effect of weight at birth of calves on the<br/>percentage incidence of retained placenta<br/>in Friesian cows.

Itom	No of records	Reta	ined placenta
Item	No. of fecolds	Ν	Incidence %
Weight at birth			
>20 kg	44	9	20.50°
21-25 kg	157	35	22.30 <sup>bc</sup>
26-30 kg	312	72	23.10 <sup>bc</sup>
31-35 kg	363	91	25.10 <sup>bc</sup>
36-40 kg	308	85	27.60 <sup>ab</sup>
<40 kg	59	17	28.80ª
Sex of calves			
Male	623	165	26.50
Female	620	144	23.20
Type of birth			
Single	1185	287	24.20 <sup>b</sup>
Twining	58	22	37.90ª
Overall mean	1243	309	24.90

 $^{a, b, c}$  Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

#### 7. Sex of calves

The percentage of incidence of retained placenta in Friesian cows was insignificantly higher with born male rather than female calves (26.50 vs. 23.20, respectively; Table 3). The slight increase in percentage of retained placenta observed with male born calves may suggest that the fetal androgenic hormone from the fetal testes might have partially affected process of placenta retention. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Deyab (2000) and Gabr et al. (2005). They found that the percentage of retained placenta tended to increase with born male than female.

#### 8. Type of birth

Results in Table 3 indicated that the percentage of incidence of retained placenta in Friesian cows was significantly higher (P<0.05) with twining birth than single (37.90 vs. 24.20%, respectively). These results agree with those obtained by Deyab (2000) who found that twining birth led to the high percentage of retained placenta.

### Effect of retained placenta on reproductive performance

#### 1. First estrus

Retention of placenta increased (P<0.05) the interval from parturition to the first postpartum estrus of Friesian cows by about 5 days (Table 4). Data also indicated that about 72 % of the normally calved cases displayed their first heat within 25 days. The corresponding percentage for those delivered with retained placenta was 63%. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Shiferaw et al. (2005) indicating that cows with retained placenta had longer intervals from calving to first estrus.

#### 2. Postpartum service interval

The period from parturition to the first service was longer (P<0.05) in cows exhibiting retained placenta compared to normal ones by about 10 days. These results can be explained by the fact that the higher percentage of normal cows (46%) received their first service in an interval of  $\leq$  42 days, while 37.1% of cows exhibited retained placenta were served within the same period (Table 5). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Shiferaw et al. (2005) indicating that cows with retained placenta had longer intervals from calving to first service. Han and Kim (2005) reported that the mean interval from calving to first service was prolonged in the group of Holstein cows with retained placenta compared to the control group.

#### 3. Service period

The service period was longer (P<0.05) in cows exhibiting retained placenta compared to normally calved ones by about 11 days (Table 6). These results attributed to the fact that 77% of normally calved cows had service period of < 61 days. The corresponding percentage of cows exhibiting retained placenta was about 57%. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Han and Kim (2005) in Holstein cows.

Table 4: Post-partum estrous interval (Mean ± S.E) of Friesian cows as affected by retention of placenta

Interval	Cows normally calved			Cows exhibiting retained placenta			
(days)	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	
≥15	154	16.50	$10.40\pm0.1^{\rm b}$	46	14.90	$14.60\pm0.1^{\mathrm{a}}$	
16-20	275	29.40	$16.50\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$	65	21.00	$19.80\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	
21-25	266	28.50	$23.90\pm0.2^{\rm b}$	84	27.20	$27.70\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	
$\leq 26$	239	25.60	$27.9\ 0\pm0.3^{b}$	114	36.90	$32.70\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	
Overall mean	934	100.00	$20.50\pm0.4^{\rm b}$	309	100.00	$25.90\pm0.6^{\rm a}$	

<sup>a, b</sup> Means within the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 5: Post-partum	service interval	(Mean ± S.E)	) of Friesian cov	vs as affected by	retention of placenta
----------------------	------------------	--------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------------------

Interval	(	Cows normally cal	ved	Cows exhibiting retained placenta			
(days)	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	
≥21	152	16.30	$15.50 \pm 0.1^{b}$	48	15.50	$19.60\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	
22-42	277	29.70	$37.00\pm0.2^{\rm b}$	67	21.60	$40.70\pm0.4^{\rm a}$	
43-63	263	28.10	$51.00\pm0.4^{\rm b}$	81	26.20	$56.40\pm0.6^{\rm a}$	
$\leq 64$	242	25.90	$74.60 \pm 1.2^{b}$	113	36.60	$82.70\pm1.6^{\rm a}$	
Overall mean	934	100.00	$47.20\pm0.6^{\rm b}$	309	100.00	$56.90 \pm 1.2^{a}$	

<sup>a, b</sup> Means within the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 6:	Service perio	d (Mean ±	<b>E</b> S.E) of Friesian	cows as affected	by retention	of placenta
----------	---------------	-----------	---------------------------	------------------	--------------	-------------

Interval	C	lows normally cal	ved	Cows exhibiting retained placenta			
(days)	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	
$\geq$ 20	169	18.10	$13.6\pm0.2^{\rm b}$	58	18.80	$17.80\pm0.3^{\rm a}$	
21-40	283	30.30	$26.7\pm0.4^{\rm b}$	72	23.30	$32.50\pm0.6^{\rm a}$	
41-60	266	28.50	$50.7\pm0.7^{\rm b}$	78	25.20	$57.40\pm0.9^{\rm a}$	
$\leq 61$	216	23.10	$91.4\pm2.4^{\rm b}$	101	32.70	$98.70\pm3.2^{\rm a}$	
Overall mean	934	100.00	$46.1\pm0.9^{\rm b}$	309	100.00	$57.70 \pm 1.9^{\text{a}}$	

<sup>a, b</sup> Means within the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Interval	Cows normally calved			Cows exhibiting retained placenta			
(days)	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	
$\geq 60$	192	20.60	$1.70\pm0.1^{\rm b}$	60	19.40	$2.50\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	
61-90	297	31.80	$2.40\pm0.1^{\rm b}$	78	25.20	$3.20\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	
91-120	256	27.40	$3.00\pm0.1^{\rm b}$	84	27.20	$3.70\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	
≤ 121	189	20.20	$3.50\pm0.1^{\rm b}$	87	28.20	$4.20\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	
Overall mean	934	100.00	$2.60\pm0.1^{\rm b}$	309	100.00	$3.50\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	

Table 7: Number of services per conception (Mean ± S.E) of Friesian cows as affected by retention of placenta

<sup>a, b</sup> Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 8:	<b>Open days</b>	(Mean ± S.E)	) of Friesian co	ow as affected by	y retention of	placenta
		•	/			

Period	C	Cows normally calved			Cows exhibiting retained placenta			
(days)	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	Ν	%	$Mean \pm SE$		
$\geq 60$	192	20.60	$42.50\pm0.2^{\rm b}$	60	19.40	$51.10\pm0.6^{\rm a}$		
61-90	297	31.80	$76.70\pm0.6^{\rm b}$	78	25.20	$86.00\pm0.9^{\rm a}$		
91-120	256	27.40	$104.80\pm1.3^{\mathrm{b}}$	84	27.20	$115.40\pm1.6^{\rm a}$		
≤ 121	189	20.20	$152.20\pm2.8^{\text{b}}$	87	28.20	$165.40\pm4.7^{\mathrm{a}}$		
Overall mean	934	100.00	$92.70\pm1.6^{\rm b}$	309	100.00	$109.60 \pm 3.1^{a}$		

<sup>a, b</sup> Means within the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

#### 4. Number of service per conception

Number of service per conception for cows exhibiting retained placenta was higher (P<0.05) as compared to normal cows (3.5 vs. 2.6; Table 7). These results might be due to the longer service period as well as the lower conception rate of cows exhibiting retained placenta, which required more services (Tables 8 and 12). Similar results were obtained by Shiferaw et al. (2005) and Han and Kim (2005), who reported that the number of services per conception was higher in cows with the incidence of retained placenta. Holt et al. (1989) found that control cows had fewer services per conception (1.6) than cows with retained placenta (2.5).

#### 5. Open days

Open days was longer (P<0.05) in cows exhibiting retained placenta as compared to normal cows by about 17 days (Table 8). This is because about 52% of normally

calved animals had days open within the three months post-partum, while only 45% of cows exhibiting retained placenta conceived within the same period. This is in addition to 28.20% of the later group which had more than 120 days open. The same results were obtained by Shiferaw et al (2005). Holt et al (1989), who found that control cows had fewer days to conception (97) than cows with retained placenta (134).

#### 6. Calving interval

Calving interval was longer (P<0.05) in cows exhibiting retained placenta as compared to normal cows by about 20 days (Table 9). About 57% of the normally calved animals had calving interval of >375 days, while only 44% of cows exhibitin retained placenta recorded open days of the same duration. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Swiefy (2003) and Han and Kim (2005) reporting similar trend.

Table 9: C	Calving interval (	(Mean ± S.E	) of Friesian cows as	affected by	y retention of	placenta
------------	--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------	----------------	----------

Period	Cows normally calved			Cows exhibiting retained placenta		
	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE
≥ 345	219	23.50	$333.50\pm0.8^{\rm b}$	60	19.40	$342.00\pm1.1^{\text{a}}$
346-375	310	33.20	$360.00\pm0.8^{\rm b}$	75	24.30	$371.30\pm1.7^{\text{a}}$
376-405	257	27.50	$384.80\pm0.9^{\rm b}$	82	26.50	$396.20\pm1.2^{\text{a}}$
$\leq 406$	148	15.80	$437.30\pm1.9$	92	29.80	$448.50\pm2.8$
Overall mean	934	100.00	$372.90 \pm 1.6^{\text{b}}$	309	100.00	$395.20\pm3.1^{\mathtt{a}}$

<sup>a, b</sup> Means within the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Period	Cows normally calved			Cows exhibiting retained placenta		
(days)	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE
$\geq 60$	192	20.60	$15.20 \pm 1.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	60	19.40	$12.90 \pm 2.5^{\rm b}$
61-90	297	31.80	$23.60 \pm 1.4^{\rm a}$	78	25.20	$16.80\pm2.4^{\rm b}$
91-120	256	27.40	$20.40 \pm 1.3$	84	27.20	$18.10\pm2.2$
≤121	189	20.20	$14.90\pm1.24^{\rm b}$	87	28.20	$18.80\pm2.1^{\rm a}$
Overall mean	934	100.00	$74.10 \pm 1.4^{\rm a}$	309	100.00	$66.70\pm2.7^{\rm b}$

Table 10: Conception rates (Mean ± S.E, %) of Friesian cows as affected by retention of placenta

<sup>a, b</sup> Means within the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 11: Average daily milk yield (Mean ± S.E, kg) of Friesian cows as affected by retention of placenta

Milk yield	Cows normally calved			Cows exhibiting retained placenta		
(kg)	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE	Ν	%	Mean $\pm$ SE
$\geq$ 5	58	15.30	$4.10\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	28	17.80	$3.90\pm0.1^{\rm b}$
6-10	142	32.70	$7.40\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	55	30.70	$6.90\pm0.2^{\rm b}$
11-15	335	28.50	$12.90\pm0.4^{\rm a}$	95	27.20	$11.70\pm0.3^{\rm b}$
16-20	286	15.20	$17.20\pm0.4^{\rm a}$	84	15.20	$16.80\pm0.4^{\rm b}$
$\leq 21$	113	8.40	$22.40\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	47	9.10	$21.60\pm0.5^{\rm b}$
Overall mean	934	100.00	$14.00\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	309	100.00	$13.00\pm0.5^{\rm b}$

a, b: Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

#### 7. Conception rate

As shown in Table (10), retention of placenta resulted in a reduction (P<0.05) of conception rate by about 7%, (74.10 vs. 66.70%, respectively). The conception rate of normally calved animals and those exhibiting retained placenta were 15.20 and 12.90% prior to 60 days, 23.60 and 16.80% for 61-90 days, 20.40 and 18.10% for 91-120 days, 14.90 and 18.80% for more than 120 days post-partum, respectively. Moreover, the highest percentage of normal cows (31.80%) were conceived during the period from 61 to 90 days after parturition, while the highest percentage of cows with retained placenta (28.20%) were conceived at more than 120 day after parturition. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Echternkamp and Gregory (1999, 2002) and Shiferaw et al. (2005) reporting similar trend of reducing conception rate of cows exhibiting retained placenta particularly compared to normally calved ones.

#### 8. Effect of retained placenta on milk yield

Average daily milk yield for cows exhibiting retained placenta was lower (P<0.05) than the normal cows. For cows exhibiting retention of placenta, average daily milk yield decreased by 1 kg as compared to normal cows for all the lactation seasons (Table 11). Retained placenta had a significant negative effect on milk yield for 5 weeks after calving, which is in agreement with results of Lucey et al. (1986), who reported that retained placenta reduced peak yield, but also had more lasting negative effect. Simerl et al. (1992) found that milk yield was depressed with retained placenta. Rajala and

Gro (1998) showed that retained placenta significantly affected milk yield. Bar and Ezra (2005) found that milk yield decreased by 172, 232 and 302 kg with retained placenta for parity 1, parity 2 and parity 3, respectively.

#### CONCLUSION

From these results, it could be concluded that year and season of calving, cow's weight, number of parity, sex, weight of calves born, type of birth and feeding system had pronounced effects on incidence of retained placenta and it showed adverse effects on reproductive performance and milk yield of Friesian cows.

#### REFERENCES

- ANIMAL PRODUCTION RESEARCH INSTITUTE 1997. Animal Nutrition Scientifically and Practically. 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Dokki, Giza, Egypt (In Arabic). 1997, ISSN 3160-98.
- ATALAH, S. A. 1993. Some studies on reproductive disorders during pregnancy and prepartum in buffaloes. Ph. D. Thesis. 1993, Suez Canal Univ.
- CARROLL, E. J. 1974. Induction of parturition in farm animals. Journal of Animal Science, 1974, vol. 38, no. 1, p. 1-9.
- CHEW, B. P. KELLER, H. F. ERB, R. E. MALVEN, P. V. 1977. Periparturient concentrations of prolactin, progesterone and the estrogens in blood plasma of cows retaining and not retaining fetal membranes. *Journal of Animal Science*, 1977, vol. 44, no. 6, p. 1055-1060.

- CHEW, B. P. ERB, R. E. RANDEL, R. D. ROUQUETTE, F. M. - Jr. 1978. Effect of corticoid induced parturition on lactation and on prepartum profiles of serum progesterone and the estrogens among cows retaining and not retaining fetal membranes. *Theriogenology*, 1978, vol. 10, p. 13-25.
- DEYAB, H. H. S. 2000. Factors affecting placenta retention in cattle. *Ph. D. Fac. of Agric.*, 2000, Minufiya Univ.
- ECHTERNKAMP, S. E. 1993. Relationships between placental development and calf birth weight in beef cattle. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 1993, vol. 32, p. 1-13.
- ECHTERNKAMP, S. E. GREGORY, K. E. 1999. Effects of twinning on gestation length, retained placenta, and dystocia. *Journal of Animal Science*, 1999, vol. 77, no. 1, p. 39-47.
- ECHTERNKAMP, S. E. GREGORY, K. E. 2002. Reproductive, growth, feedlot, and carcass traits of twin vs single births in cattle. *Journal of Animal Science*, 2002, vol. 80, Suppl. 2, p. 64-73.
- ECHTERNKAMP, S. E. HAYS, W. G. KVASNICKA, W. G. 1987. Synchronization of parturition in beef cattle with prostaglandin and dexamethasone. *Theriogenology*, 1987, vol. 28, no. 3, p. 337-347.
- EHRHARDT, R. A. BELL, A. W. 1995. Growth and metabolism of the ovine placenta during mid-gestation. *Placenta*, 1995, vol. 16, no. 8, p. 727-741.
- El-NAGGAR, M. A. 1977. The effect of different treatment upon the postpartum involution of uterus and retention of the placenta in dairy cows. *The Veterinary Medical Review*, 1977, vol. 1, p. 36-43.
- FOURICHON, C. SEEGERS, H. MALHER, X. 2000. Effect of disease on reproduction in the dairy cows; Ametaanalysis. *Theriogenelogy*, 2000, vol. 53, p. 1729-1759.
- GABR, Sh. A. SHAMIAH, Sh. M. ABU EL-HAMD, M. A. 2005. Factors related to the incidence of retained placenta in Friesian cows kept under Egyptian conditions. *Journal* of Agriculture Science Mansoura University, 2005, vol. 30, p. 6532.
- GREGORY, K. E. ECHTERNKAMP, S. E. CUNDIFF, L. V. 1996. Effects of twinning on dystocia, calf survival, calf growth, carcass traits, and cow productivity. *Journal of Animal Science*, 1996, vol. 74, no. 6, p. 1223-1333.
- HAN, I. K. KIM, I. H. 2005. Risk factors for retained placenta and the effect of retained placenta on the occurrence of postpartum diseases and subsequent reproductive performance in dairy cows. *Journal Veterinary Science*, 2005, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 53-59.
- HOLT, L. C. WHITTIER, W. D. GWAZDAUSKAS, F. C. – VINSON, W. E. 1989. Early postpartum reproductive profiles in Holstein cows with retained placenta and uterine discharges. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 1989, vol. 72, no. 2, p. 533-539.
- ISHAK, M. A. LARSON, L. L. OWEN, F. G. LOWRY, S. R. – ERICKSON, E. D. 1983. Effects of selenium, vitamins, and ration fiber on placental retention and performance of dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science, 1993, vol., 66, p. 99-

106.

- JOOSTEN, I. STETWAGEN, J. DIJKHUIZE, A. A. 1988. Economic and reproductive consequences of retained placenta in dairy cattle. *Veterinary Research*, 1988, vol. 123, p. 53-57.
- KAREN, A. M. A. 1996. Some trails prevention and treatment of retained placenta in cows and buffaloes. M. Sc. Thesis. 1996, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Tanta University.
- LUCEY, S. ROWLANDS, G. J. RUSSELL, A. 1986. Shortterm associations between disease and milk yield of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 1986, vol. 53, no. 1, p. 7-15.
- PETERS, A. R. BALL, P. J. H. 1995. Reproduction in cattle. 2nd ed, Blackwell Science Ltd, P. 1–11.
- RAJALA, P. J. GRO, Y. T. 1998. Effects of dystocia, retained placenta, and metritis on milk yield in dairy cows. *Journal* of *Dairy Science*, 1998, vol. 81, no. 12, p. 3172-3181.
- ROWLANDS, G. J. LUCEY, S. 1986. Changes in milk yield in dairy cows associated with metabolic and reproductive disease and lameness. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 1986, vol. 4, p. 205-222.
- SHIFERAW, Y. TENHAGEN, B. A. BEKANA, M. KASSA, T. 2005. Reproductive disorders of crossbred dairy cows in the central highlands of Ethiopia and their effect on reproductive performance. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 2005, vol. 37, no. 5, p. 427-441.
- SIMERL, N. A. WILCOX, C. J. THATCHER, W. W. 1992. Postpartum performance of dairy heifers freshening at young ages. *Journal of Dairy Science*, vol. 75, no. 2, p. 590-595.
- SPSS 2004. Statistical package for the social sciences, Release: 13, 2004, SPSS INC, Chicago, USA.
- STEVENS, R. D. DINSMORE, R. P. 1997. Treatment of dairy cows at parturition with prostaglandin F2 alpha or oxytocin for prevention of retained fetal membranes. *Journal of American Veterinary Medicine Association*, 1997, vol. 211, no. 10, p. 1280-1284.
- SWIEFY, A. S. 2003. Effect of retained placenta on postpartum reproduction performance of Frisian cows. *Egyptian Journal of Animal Production*, 2003, vol. 40, p. 111-121.
- THATCHER, W. W. WILCOX, C. J. COLLIER, R. J. ELEY, D. S. - HEAD, H. H. 1980. Bovine conceptus-maternal interactions during the pre- and postpartum periods. *Journal* of Dairy Science, 1980, vol. 63, p. 1530-1540.
- VAN WERVEN, T. SCHUKKEN, Y. H. LLOYD, J. BRAND, A. – HEERINGA, H. T. – SHEA, M. 1992. The effects of duration of retained placenta on reproduction, milk production, postpartum disease and culling rate. *Theriogenolgy*, 1992, vol. 37, p. 1191–1203.
- WILTBANK, J. N. TREVINO, R. VILLALON, A. – CRENSHAW, D. 1984. Incidence of retained placenta following induction of parturition with corticoids or prostaglandins. *Theriogenology*, 1984, vol. 21, no. 3, p. 427-434.